

Latest Progress in Optimization Software

Hans D Mittelmann

School of Mathematical and Statistical Sciences
Arizona State University

INFORMS Annual Meeting
Seattle, WA
21 October 2024

Outline

Introduction

Recent Developments

Selected Benchmarks

Conclusions

Outline

Introduction

Recent Developments

Selected Benchmarks

Conclusions



HOME



SOFTWARE



BENCHMARKS



TESTCASES



BOOKS



MORE

DECISION TREE FOR OPTIMIZATION SOFTWARE

BENCHMARKS FOR OPTIMIZATION SOFTWARE

By Hans Mittelmann ([mittelmann at asu.edu](mailto:mittelmann@asu.edu))

END OF A BENCHMARKING ERA

For many years our benchmarking effort had included the solvers CPLEX, Gurobi, and XPRESS. Through an [action](#) by Gurobi at the 2018 INFORMS Annual Meeting this has come to an end. IBM and [FICO](#) demanded that results for their solvers be removed. See [here](#) for more details. The resulting void was filled by [other developers](#).

In August 2024 Gurobi decided to withdraw from the benchmarks as well and their results have been removed. See the note at the bottom of the MIPLIB benchmark.

What is on top of the Benchmark Page?

- ▶ Links to history and older benchmarks
- ▶ Especially details on the elimination of CPLEX, XPRESS, and recently Gurobi
- ▶ Hint that full solver logfiles are provided
- ▶ Explanation why performance profiles are **not used**
- ▶ Link to Matt Miltenberger's visualization tool

History and Scope of the Benchmarks

- ▶ Start about 1998
- ▶ Summary presented at INFORMS Annual starting 2002
- ▶ 22 benchmarks in these areas
 - ▶ TSP, (MI)LP, (MI)SOCP, SDP, convex/nonconvex (MI)QCP, QUBO, (MI)NLP, MPEC
- ▶ Codes evaluated: 48, of those 28 actively developed, 14 commercial
- ▶ Running times per code: up to 3 weeks

Outline

Introduction

Recent Developments

Selected Benchmarks

Conclusions

The Benchmarks at a Turning Point

- ▶ Withdrawals
 - ▶ Gurobi 8/2024 from all
 - ▶ Mindopt/Alibaba 9/2024 from MIP
- ▶ Potential use of **over-tuning/machine learning**
 - ▶ in LP/MIP: various codes ?
 - ▶ in NLP: Taylor/Huawei ?
- ▶ Measures taken
 - ▶ one quarter of LP instances undisclosed; should help for MIP as well
 - ▶ **preprocessing** of MIP instances (mathematically equivalent)
 - ▶ issues may be addressed by **MIPLIB2024** committee
 - ▶ in NLP nothing so far

Outline

Introduction

Recent Developments

Selected Benchmarks

Conclusions

Selected Benchmarks

These **seven** benchmarks follow next:

- ▶ **convex**: LPopt, MILP, MIQCP, SDP
- ▶ **nonconvex**: NLP, BQCP, MINLP
- ▶ remember: "the **great watershed** in optimization isn't between linearity and nonlinearity, but convexity and nonconvexity"
- ▶ Talk will report **some** progress; more substantial progress may be announced at meeting: by Gurobi, XPRESS etc

LPopt Benchmark (find optimal basic solution)

- ▶ Same own instance selection as in LPfeas benchmark

CLP-1.17.7, COPT-7.2.0, MindOpt-1.0.0, HiGHS-1.7.2, OptVerse-1.1.0

MOSEK-10.1.9, GLOP-9.5, SOPLEX-6.0.0, XOPT-0.0.8

- ▶ Intel i7-11700K, 3.6GHz, 64GB, 15,000 secs wall clock

65 probs	27.2	1	1.97	1.68	5.84	18.2	59.4	90.9	6.60
solved	40	65	63	63	52	51	33	32	52

=====
probs CLP COPT MDOPT OPTV MOSEK HiGHS GLOP SPLX XOPT
=====

MATLAB uses HiGHS

The MILP Benchmarks: Benchmark and Pathological

- ▶ Total of 240 resp 45 instances
- ▶ Sizes up to 1.5m/1m/43m rows/cols/nonzeros
- ▶ AMD Ryzen 9 5900X (12 cores, 128GB), 7,200 resp 10,800 secs wall clock
- ▶ Instead of separate tables, **combine** both benchmarks
- ▶ for the **original and the modified** datasets
- ▶ modification through **random row and column reordering**
- ▶ and a **quick presolve**, both done with SCIP.

	COPT	SCIP	SCIPC	HiGHS	OPTV	XOPT	LEOPT	TAYLR	
scaled	1.11	22.4	13.0	11.8	1	7.90	12.4	2.72	PATH
solved	41	20	28	29	41	31	27	34	
scaled	1	20.3	11.7	11.3	2.59	9.90	11.2	3.57	P_PATH
solved	41	22	25	23	33	24	24	30	
scaled	1.05	9.03	7.71	7.27	1	4.62	3.04	1.78	MIPLIB
solved	220	139	152	159	222	188	179	227	
scaled	1	8.76	7.07	6.79	1.89	5.05	6.69	3.39	MODIFIED
solved	217	128	145	151	203	160	150	181	

Convex Discrete QPLIB Benchmark

- ▶ Total of 31 instances
- ▶ Sizes up to 26k/65k/200k variables/constraints/nonzeros
- ▶ AMD Ryzen 9 5900X, 12 cores, 128GB , 2 hours wall clock

```
=====
mean      9.66    12.3    4.22    52.6    21.0    61.6    1.03     1
solved    18         16     20       7       14       8       25      24
=====
      MOSEK KNITRO  BARON BONMIN   SCIP MNTAUR   SHOT   COPT
-----
```

Several SDP-codes on sparse and other SDP problems

- ▶ Total of 75 instances, Own instance selection
- ▶ Sizes up to 100k/100k/450m variables/constraints/nonzeros
- ▶ AMD Ryzen 9 5900X, 12 cores, 128GB , 40,000 secs wall clock

scaled geomean	1	5.21	3.22	10.5	5.14	28.9	7.86	1.44
=====								
count of "a"	6	5	2	17	13	2	11	12
solved of 75	75	70	73	61	69	62	70	75
=====								
problem	COPT	CSDP	MOSEK	SDPA	SDPT3	SeDuMi	HSDP	MDOPT
=====								
"a": reduced accuracy								

AMPL-NLP Benchmark

- ▶ Total of 47 instances
- ▶ Sizes up to 140k/260k constraints/variables
- ▶ AMD Ryzen 9 5900X (12 cores, 128GB), 7,200 secs wall clock

```
=====
scaled geom mean      10.6  1.28  94.4  82.4  10.9  34.0    1
solved                46   47   30   27   45   36   47
-----
47 problems          IPOPT$ KNITR SNOPT CONPT  WORHP  MATLAB  TAYLOR
-----
scaled geom mean      8.29   1   73.6  64.3  8.49  26.5
solved                46   47   30   27   45   36
=====
```

\$ Higher performance of IPOPT possible for different LA routines

Binary Non-Convex QPLIB Benchmark

- ▶ Total of 128 instances
- ▶ Sizes up to 36k/120k/5k variables/total constraints/nonlin constraints
- ▶ AMD Ryzen 9 5900X (12 cores, 128GB), 3,600 secs wall clock

```
=====
mean      5.87    31.7    63.1    74.9         1    10.3
solved    66      34     16      6         91    69
=====
prob#     BARON     SCIP ANTIGONE  COUENNE      SHOT    RAPOSa
-----
BARON/SCIP with CPLEX;      SHOT, RAPOSa with Gurobi
```

Mixed Integer Nonlinear Programming Benchmark

- ▶ Total of 87 instances; run through GAMS
- ▶ Sizes up to 100k/100k/5k variables/lin constraints/nonlin constraints
- ▶ AMD Ryzen 9 5900X (12 cores, 128GB), 7,200 secs wall clock
- ▶ Instances from MINLPLib

	ANTIGONE	BARON	LINDO	SCIP	SHOT
geomean	19.0	1.0	22.3	4.6	2.3
solved	52	76	28	65	53

#: SHOT can only solve 66/87 instances

Outline

Introduction

Recent Developments

Selected Benchmarks

Conclusions

Conclusions

Some Takeaways

- ▶ transition to post-MIPLIB2017 regime partially achieved
- ▶ regretfully Mindopt withdrew from MILP
- ▶ even convex MIQCP is still challenging
- ▶ regretfully Gurobi withdrew from MIQCP
- ▶ BARON continues to lead in MINLP; where are Gurobi, XPRESS?
- ▶ GPUs still to be included

THANK YOU

Questions?

Slides of talk at

<https://plato.asu.edu/talks/informs2024.pdf>